Comparative Study for Citrus Fruits Peel Extract, Phytochemical Screening and In-vitro Antioxidant Activity

Ihab I. Al-Khalifa, Rand A. Aziz, Shaimaa M. Mohammed, Humam L. Qusay, Mohammed K. Abbas and Mohammed M. Fadhil

1 Department of Pharmacy, Al-Rasheed University College, Baghdad, Iraq
2 Department of Pharmacognosy and Medicinal Plants, College of Pharmacy, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq
3 Department of Clinical and Laboratory Science, College of Pharmacy, Al-Mustaqbal University, Babylon, Iraq
4 Baghdad Teaching Hospital, Ministry of Health, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

Oxidative stress is one of the pharmacological & toxicology mechanisms and an important pathological mechanism proposed for many diseases including cancer. Antioxidants remove these free-radical intermediates and inhibit other oxidation thus stopping the harmful chain reactions for all living cells. There is an increasing interest in the antioxidants measurement of plant constituents which has antioxidant components replace synthetic ones, citrus genus which belongs to Rutaceae family includes some of the most widely cultivated crops in the world because of their many nutritional and health benefits. It is an important economically but the attention to leaves and peel had not given importance in comparison to fruits despite the presence of phenols quantity that varies among species, therefore, this comparative study aims involve ethanolic citrus peel extract preparation, phytochemical investigation and antioxidant determination of two different species Citrus maxima (pomelo) and Citrus sinesis (sweet orange) fruit peel.

The preliminary phytochemical analysis of the prepared peel extract showed the presence of several constituents of citrus peels as alkaloids, phenolics, flavonoids and rutin. Moreover, the result of in-vitro antioxidants activity of both citrus species showed that DPPH radical scavenging activity for peel extract exhibit a significant dose dependent inhibition with IC50 was calculated (287.32 ug/ml and 341.89 µg/ml) for pomelo & orange respectively compared to IC50 value of vitamin C which was 260.06 µg/ml as standard antioxidant. In conclusion, study results showed that pomelo peel show higher antioxidant activity compared to orange moreover, the citrus peel has significant antioxidant properties and it is a potential rich sources of natural antioxidants and PPE exhibited great efficiency in scavenging DPPH and reactive oxygen radicals.
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Introduction

Plants are valuable source of natural products for maintaining health in human beings. Phytochemicals present in plant extracts have potential antioxidant properties which may be useful in therapeutic applications (1). Citrus fruit is rich in antioxidants such as beta-carotene, ascorbic acid, terpenoids, alkaloids, beta-sitosterol, and polyphenols like flavonoids, flavones glycosides, and rutin (2,3). This study aims to phytochemical investigation and determination the antioxidant properties in two varieties citrus fruit peel namely sweet orange (Citrus sinensis) and pomelo (Citrus maxima). The peels of Citrus sinensis and Citrus maxima were collected and the total phenolic content was calculated using Follin ciocalteu’s reagent(4). The amount of terpenoids was found in greater amount in pomelo peel than in the orange peel (5). It was also found that alkaloids were present in greater amount than the other phytochemicals in orange and pomelo peel (6). Preliminary photochemical analysis and estimation of total phenolic content was done in Citrus fruit peel (7). Thus, it is established that orange and pomelo peel can be used medicinally for their antibacterial and anti-oxidant activities due to the presence of significant amount of alkaloids in them (8).

Materials and Methods

Preparation of citrus peel Extract (CPE)

An aqueous ethanolic citrus (pomelo and sweet orange) peel extract prepared according to the method reported by (Abeyasinghe et al. 2007) (9) with slight modifications, by soaking 10g of the dry powdered citrus peel in 100ml of 80% ethanol. The mixture was poured into test tubes, covered with the aluminum foils, placed into water bath for extraction at 65°C for 3h and the whole solution was filtered and the filtrate allowed to evaporate into dryness in an oven at 60°C. The process was repeated several times and the yield was noted. The extract was refrigerated at 4°C for future use in experimental studies. Also, the required extract frequently prepared every 48 h and used fresh for each experiment (9).

Preliminary phytochemical screening

Qualitative phytochemical investigation of the prepared citrus (Pomelo or orange) peel ethanolic extract was carried out as follows via standard method involving:

1-Alkaloids Determination Using Dragendorff Test

An aliquot of ethanolic extract was spotted on TLC plate, after development with mobile phase (chloroform:methanol) (8:2) the TLC plate was dried and sprayed with dragendorff reagent.

2-Terpenoids Determination test using (Salkowski test)

A 5 ml of each extract was mixed in 2 ml of chloroform, and concentrated H2SO4 (3 ml) was added to form a layer. The formation of reddish-brown color indicates the presence of terpenoids.

3- Total Phenolics Determination

Quantitative test

Total phenolic compound (TPC) was determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method to quantify the amounts of Phenolic contents of both types of citrus & pomelo peel extract. The total phenolic content in the methanol seeds extract of C. fistula was measured using Folin-Ciocalteau reagent based on procedures described by (Singleton et al. 1999) (10), with some modifications. Briefly, 0.5 ml of methanolic seeds extract (1 mg/ml) was mixed with 1.5 ml (1:10 v/v diluted with distilled water) Folin-Ciocalteau’s reagent and allowed to stand at 22°C for 5 min. Then 2 ml of sodium carbonate (Na2CO3, 7.5%, w/v) was added and the mixture were allowed stand for another 90 min and kept in the dark with intermittent shaking. Then the absorbance of the blue color that developed was measured at 725 nm using spectrophotometer (HITACHI U-1900 spectrophotometer 200V). The experiment was carried out in triplicates. Gallic acid was used for constructing the standard curve (25 to 150 μg/ml; Y = 0.0008X; R²= 0.9876) and the total phenolic compounds concentration in the seeds extract was expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalent per gram of dry weight (mg GAE/g) of extract (10).

4- Flavonoids Determination test

Qualitative tests

Ethanolic KOH 2% (2ml) was added to (1ml) of ethanolic extract of plant. The formation of yellow color indicate the presence of flavonoids.

Quantitative tests

Total flavonoid content was determined using Aluminium chloride colorimetric assay method used by (Chang et al. 2002) (11), which involve the reaction mixture containing 0.1ml of 10% AlCl3 in ethanol, 2.8 ml distilled water and 0.1 ml of 1 M potassium acetate (120 mM) was added to 0.5 ml of ethanol-water (80:20,v/v) extract of pomelo peel previously made, incubated at room
temperature for 30 min. Absorbance was read at 415 nm with (Shimadzu UV-visible spectrophotometer, Japan), the amount of 10% aluminium chloride was substituted by the same amount of D.W. in blank and the calibration curve was obtained using 80% alcoholic solution containing 10 grams of quercetin then diluted to (25, 50 and 100 μg/ml) used as standard solution to determine the flavonoid content of the sample extract (11).

**Estimation of the antioxidant Citrus Peel Extract activity**

Citrus Peel Extract Antioxidant activity and minimum inhibitory concentration 50% (IC50) were determined by using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl DPPH assay. Antioxidant capacity of the citrus peel extract (CPE) for orang was measured using DPPH assay as described by (Tippani et al. 2010) (12) with minor modification. Briefly various concentrations of PPE starting from (500-25µg/ml) were made by serial dilutions from previously prepared ethanolic extract stock solution (4000 µg/ml). Equal volumes of each extract were pipetted into 0.2mM ethanolic solution of (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH )) to initiate the reaction for creating a calibration curve. After shaking, the mixture was incubated in dark for 30min. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) widely studied antioxidant, was used for comparison or as a positive control.

The DPPH solution in the absence of CPE used as control and the 80% ethanol was used as blank discolorations were measured at 517 nm by using UV-spectrophotometer (HITACHI U-1900).Measurement was performed at least in triplicate (12). The percentage of the DPPH free radical was calculated using the following equation: DPPH scavenging effect (%) = ((A0-A1)/ A0) x 100

Where A0 was the absorbance of the control and A1 was the absorbance in the presence of the Citrus peel extract. The actual decrease in absorption induced by the test was compared with the positive controls.

The IC50 (concentration providing 50% inhibition) values were calculated use the dose inhibition curve in linear range by plotting the extract concentration versus the corresponding % of DPPH scavenging effect.

**Results and Discussion**

**The preliminary phytochemical analysis**

Screening tests of the prepared extract shown the presence of several constituents of citrus peels. The results are revealed in the table. The ethanolic extract showed different class of phytochemicals (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Orange peel extract</th>
<th>Pomelo peel extract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(Phenolic test) ferric chloride</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Terpenoids test</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Flavonoids test</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimation of the antioxidant Citrus Peel Extract activity

The result of in-vitro antioxidants activity of both citrus species, DPPH radical is commonly used as substrate for fast evaluation of antioxidant activity because of its stability in the radical form and simplicity of the assay. Citrus peel extract (CPE) prepared as stock and serial dilutions were screened for DPPH radical scavenging activity for peel extract exhibit a significant dose dependent inhibition of DPPH radical color according to the method previously described based on color change of DPPH solution from purple to yellow as the radical is quenched by the CPE antioxidant contents , measured quantitatively by spectrophotometer absorbance at 517 nm (Table 3, Figure 1.a). Moreover, concentration of the extract required to inhibit 50% of the initial DPPH free radicals (IC50) was calculated (287.32 ug/ml and 341.89 μg/ml) for pomelo & orange respectively compared to IC50 value of vitamin C which was 260.06 μg/ml as standard antioxidant (Table 3, Figure 1.b). Basically, a higher DPPH radical-scavenging activity is associated with a lower IC50 value. Previous studies showed that samples which had IC50 lower than 50ug/ml was very strong antioxidant, 50-100 µg/ml was a strong antioxidant, 101-150 µg/ml was medium antioxidant whereas a weak antioxidant with IC50 > 150µg/ml. (13)

Therefore, citrus peel extract has good a free radical scavenger activity and both species activity was comparable to the previous study which represented that IC50 of 13 different types of citrus peels were found to fall between 0.6-3.8 mg/ml. (14) Therefore, the current study results showed that pomelo peel had higher antioxidant activity compared to orange moreover, citrus peel possessed high antioxidant properties and it is potentially rich sources of natural antioxidants and PPE exhibited great efficiency in scavenging DPPH and reactive oxygen radicals.

Table 1. Qualitative tests for the citrus peel extract
The (pomelo Peel Extracts) PPE exhibited higher total phenolic content (TPC) 74.62 ± 1.7 mg/g GAE while the orange peel extracts (OPE) showed lower TPC of 45.73±1.4mg/g GAE (Table 2). Total flavonoids content (TFC) of PPE was 21.12 ± 4.1mg/g quercetine compared to 23.2 ± 2.3 mg/g quercetin for OPE. (Table 2).

Table 2 . Quantitative determination of total phenolics & total flavonoids content of the citrus peel extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citrus peel</th>
<th>Total phenolics(TPC) mg/gGAE</th>
<th>Total flavonoids (TFC)mg/g quercetin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pomelo</td>
<td>74.62±1.7</td>
<td>21.12 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>45.73±1.4</td>
<td>23.2 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 . Determination of citrus extract Antioxidant activity compared to vitamin C standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ext Conc.</th>
<th>Orange</th>
<th>Pomelo</th>
<th>Vit C.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. a – DPPH Antioxidant activity of pomelo peel compared to orange peel extract

Figure 1 b. DPPH Antioxidant activity of standard vitamin C
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